

# Neural Models for Electromagnetic Field Strength Level Prediction – Application in RF Communications

Bratislav Milovanović, Zoran Z. Stanković, Maja Sarevska, Aleksandar V. Jovanović

**Abstract** – New approaches of modelling in RF Communications using neural networks are presented in this paper. For that purpose new neural models are presented in a way that can integrate current empirical or semi-empirical knowledge from the problem domain which highly increases the efficiency of modelling. Possibilities of application presented neural models is demonstrated through results of modeling in the area of RF communications and mobile communications which have been realised in the Laboratory for Microwave Technique and Satellite Communications at the Faculty of Electronic Engineering in Niš.

**Keywords** – RF Communications, neural networks, modelling, neural model.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Today expansion of RF Communications, utilization of more complex RF equipment and systems and setting up more and more severe requests concerning performance and quality of their services certainly leads in appearing of a faster, more reliable and accurate tools for designing adequate reliable models. Methods for designing that are based on detailed physical-electromagnetic models are complex and very requesting concerning hardware platform and needed computation time. Simpler models, whether they are empirical, semi-empirical or statistical, usually have limitations, like achieved accuracy. The reason for that is the approximation used as a tool for simplification.

As a good alternative to go beyond these problems can be RF modelling based on artificial neural networks (ANNs) [1]. Encouraging results that have been achieved in this area showed that neural models can be much faster than EM models and also more accurate than different empirical and approximate models. There are two main characteristics of ANN. The first is that it represents highly parallel distributed architecture which is built from highly connected small processing units – neurons. This enables the modelling of a highly dimensional and highly nonlinear problems using fast data transfer from input to output of the neural model. The second characteristic is that ANN is not programmed to execute functional dependences designated in advance. These functional dependences are learnt on the basis of group of solved examples.

When the learning process of ANN is finished, it doesn't give good results just for solved examples that have been presented to it during the process of learning. It is also used for predicting solutions and examples that have not been presented during the training process. This is called a generalization characteristic.

Bratislav Milovanović, Zoran Stanković, Maja Sarevska and Aleksandar Jovanović are with the Faculty of Electronic Engineering, Aleksandra Medvedeva 14, 18000 Niš, Serbia and Montenegro, E-mail: [bata, zoran, jalex]@elfak.ni.ac.yu

This enables modelling of problems whose electromagnetic nature is not known enough by model based on ANN.

Great amount of encouraging results have been already achieved in the area of applying neural networks in EM wave's propagation modelling and designation of service areas of broadcasting and mobile communication systems [2-8]. In the past few years neural networks are applied in the area of modelling of a passive [9-12] and active [13-16] RF/microwave components, as well as RF microwave circuits modelling [17,18]. Certain success is achieved in the area of applying neural networks in antenna modelling [19,20] and in radar techniques concerning problem of detection and tracking radar targets [21]. Results that are derived by scientists from Laboratory for Microwave Technique and Satellite Television at the Faculty of Electronic Engineering in the area of broadcasting and mobile communications will be presented in this paper.

## II. MAIN CONCEPT OF NEURAL NETWORKS AND TYPES OF NEURAL MODELS

Owing to the capability of a functional dependence's modelling exclusively on the basis of input data, Multilayer Perceptron Network (MLP) is a type of neural network that can be successfully applied in the modelling of a large number of RF communication problems.

If we want to obtain accurate MLP model we should provide large number of samples for training process when just MLP network is used as neural model. This may lead to big problems in using MLP neural models. The first one is that obtaining such a large number of samples can be difficult because they usually can be generated by time-consuming numerical methods or obtained by complex measurements. The second is that training of MLP neural network with such large number of samples can have implementation limitations, and can take much time without knowing the final results.

If there is certain knowledge about the problem that is modelled, it can be built in neural model aiming to significantly decrease the number of training samples that is needed for neural network and also to provide more efficient modelling process. This knowledge is presented by known empirical or semi-empirical functions that represent connections between input and output parameters. Those functions don't have to describe influence of all input parameters and to cover whole range of input values, but they have importance to help neural network to model all desirable functional dependences, even when there are limited and small number of training samples. Model that uses this knowledge can much faster and more reliable solve the problem that is modelled.

We can apply two different approaches in realization of such model. The first one is using hybrid empirical-neural model (HEN). Basic idea in using HEN model is that with

appropriate connection with neural model, empirical model can enlarge generalization and extrapolation capabilities of the




Figure 1. Hybrid empirical-neural model based on Input knowledge

neural network by presenting to it additional information about functional dependences of the problem.

Depending on which way empirical and neural model are connected in hybrid model we can differentiate two types of




Figure 2. Hybrid empirical-neural model based on mapping the input values

models that we used: HEN model based on input knowledge and HEN model based on mapping the input range.

HEN model which is based on input knowledge[18] (Fig. 1) is realized by taking the output from the empirical model as an additional input in neural network that models the problem. It can be so presented as:

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{y}_e(\mathbf{x})) \quad (1)$$

Additional input in neural network is called the input of knowledge because empirical model use it to present empirical pre-knowledge about the problem that is modelled to neural model.

HEN model which is devised on mapping the input values (Fig. 2) consist of neural network that have to map values of one part of input parameters  $\mathbf{x}_2$  into new values  $\bar{\mathbf{x}}_2$  that will enable the output of empirical model to be approximately equal to desired ones. This HEN model can be functionally described as:

$$\mathbf{y} \approx \mathbf{y}_e = \mathbf{y}_e(\mathbf{x}_1, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_2(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{x}_2)) \quad (2)$$

### III. APPLICATION OF NEURAL NETWORKS IN BROADCASTING

The development of models for electromagnetic field level prediction, which properly describe realistic propagation conditions, is very important for designing of modern broadcasting systems. Large number of global and local

parameters such as relief, object along propagation path, climate zone, refraction coefficient in atmosphere, multi-path propagation etc., have great influence on electromagnetic wave propagation. Existing statistical or deterministic models mostly take partially in consideration those influences. Among current statistical models for electromagnetic field level predicting in broadcasting, the most often applicable method is proposed by ITU-R, proposal 370-7[2,3]. This method is based on visual reading of electromagnetic field level directly from the curve which gives dependence of field strength level from distance and effective height of antenna. This read values has to be then corrected in accordance with values read from the curve which gives correction according to undulation of terrain, and values read from the curve which gives correction according to clearance angle of terrain. The main disadvantage of this method is that visual reading is time-consuming and inaccurate. It can be eliminated by automatization by neural models developed in [2-4].

Empirical or semi-empirical propagation models for urban area which are mostly used today are based on too rough approximations without including in an appropriate manner the properties of the environment through which the signal is propagating. Frequently used COST 231 Walfisch-Ikegami model assumes that all streets in propagation area are parallel with the same width; all buildings are of the same height and equally spaced. In paper [5] is presented the architecture of HEN model which maps the input values. It takes into consideration parameters that characterize specificity of the propagation area through which signal propagates. Urban environment is divided into areas in which a particular type of objects is dominating (low buildings-houses, high buildings, green areas), characteristic parameters for every type of area are defined, and then every type is modelled by specific HEN model. In [5] is developed approximate model which is based on measurements obtained in Niš (80-120 measurements for specific area) and which is used as empirical model in HEN model. According to this model the path loss of propagation area is:

$$A = \sqrt{\rho_k} \Delta r \bar{X} + 5n \log \Delta r \quad (3)$$

where  $A$  is the path loss value along the section with the length  $\Delta r$  from the beginning of the area with the mean building density  $\rho_k$ , average partial loss of single building  $\bar{X}$  and exponential loss index  $n$ . Parameters  $\bar{X}$  and  $n$  can be obtained according to measured values. The approximate model presumes that the signal loss induced by a single building is the same along the whole section in the propagation area. However, during the propagation, electromagnetic wave encounters objects with different geometries and compositions, so the average partial loss of a single building  $\bar{X}$  changes with the distance  $\Delta r$ .

Neural network in HEN model (Fig. 3.a) is used to correct this weakness of approximate model by modelling the partial loss of a single building, which changes according to function:

$$X = f(\bar{X}, \Delta r) \quad (4)$$

New value of partial loss  $X$  which is brought to approximative model can correct its accuracy by providing more accurate value for propagation loss.




Figure 3. Hybrid empirical neural model of propagation area a) and two HEN models integrated in complex HEN model b)




Figure 4. Comparison of results obtained by approximative and HEN model with measured values for area L-III




Figure 5. Comparison of results obtained by approximative and HEN model with measured values for L-II area




Figure 6. Propagation loss of area that passes through propagation area II-LB i III-LB obtained by HEN models and complex HEN model

TABLE 1- PARAMETERS OF LOW AND HIGH BUILDINGS AREA

Oblast	Low buildings				High buildings			
	L-I	L-II	L-III	L-IV	H-I	H-II	H-III	H-IV
$\rho_k$ [ $10^{-3} \text{ m}^{-2}$ ]	1.88	2.12	2.66	3.23	0.32	0.38	0.58	0.73
$h_{sr}$ [m]	13	7	10	9	24	22	30	20
$d$ [m]	420	280	420	420	250	200	250	160
$r_0$ [m]	2350	2312	2664	2236	1224	1792	1064	3056

Parameters of urban propagation area of Niš with low and high buildings, that HEN models are developed for, are showed on table 1. Comparison of results obtained from approximative and HEN model with measured values for one area with low buildings is presented on Fig. 4. Results obtained by HEN model in area where measurements for checking accuracy and not for realization were taken out are showed on Fig. 5. Good results in this area show that HEN model can be applied for electromagnetic field level prediction in the area where no measurements are taken out which is the main advantage of this model. Propagation loss on path which passes through two or more area of different

type can be obtained by addition of propagation losses of each area. Better results are obtained when each HEN model is connected to correctioning MLP network (Fig. 3.b) for whole propagation area. Obtained model is called complex HEN model.

#### IV. APPLICATION OF NEURAL NETWORKS IN MOBILE COMMUNICATIONS

Enlarging covering area, number of subscribers and the quality of subscriber services is not possible without effective interference problem solving between subscribers. One way to solve this problem is to apply the DOA (*Direction of arrival*) algorithm in order to determine the direction of electromagnetic radiation. DOA algorithm in first step is used for determination of the locations of mobile subscribers between which can interference arises, and then in second step using adaptive antenna array radiation is routed to desired subscribers. The most often used algorithm for DOA estimation is MUSIC algorithm (*Multiple signal classification*). It is hard to be implemented it in real time because of its extremely difficult computation. The good alternative for DOA estimation can be neural networks.

Architecture of RBF (*Radial basis function*) neural networks for DOA estimation of  $U$  mobile subscribers in the radiation area of  $M$  - element antenna array is showed at Fig.7 [6,7]. It models THE mapping  $F: C^M \rightarrow R^U$  of output values of antenna array  $\{x(t)=[x_1(t), \dots, x_M(t)]\}$  to values in DOA space  $\{\theta=[\theta_1, \dots, \theta_U]\}$  where  $x_i(t)$  is signal at the output of the  $i$ -th element, and  $\theta_m$  is azimuth of the  $m$ -th subscriber. Signal  $x_i(t)$  is determined as:

$$x_i(t) = \sum_{m=1}^U s_m(t) \cdot e^{-j(i-1) \cdot (\omega_0 d / c) \cdot \sin(\theta_m)} + n_i(t) \quad (5)$$

where  $s_m(t)$  is the signal of  $m$ -th subscriber,  $n_i(t)$  is noise at  $i$ -th antenna element, and  $d$  is distance between elements of antenna. The output of antenna  $x(t)$  is changable in time so during the preprocessing of data spatial correlation matrix  $R=E\{x(t)x^H(t)\}$  is formatted and brought at the input of the network [7]. Efficiency of the DOA estimation can be multiplied if instead one RBF network hierarchical neural model (HN) is used where the space of mobile subscribers is divided in sectors which are modelled by special networks. At Fig. 8 is presenting HN model which in first level detects subscriber in  $i$ -th sector by network based on probability (PNN-*Probabilistic NN*), and then in second level its DOA estimation is performed by RBF network of  $i$ -th sector which is activated by the output of the PNN network. In Fig. 9 are presented the results of simulation by HN model. (dashed line) with referent values (prompt line) for two mobile subscribers which change their mutual distance from  $4^\circ$  to  $2^\circ$  (with step  $0.5^\circ$ ) with  $M=10$  elements and  $SNR$  ratio is 10 dB.

## V. CONCLUSION

New approaches of modelling in RF communications based on neural networks application can go beyond different kind of limitations in application of existing electromagnetic and empirical models. For that purpose are suitable neural models that have ability to use existing empirical or semi-empirical knowledge from the problem domain, which can make neural modelling very efficient. Results that are presented in this paper support this fact.

## REFERENCES

- [1] S. Haykin, *Neural Networks*, New York, IEEE, 1994.
- [2] Z. Stanković, N. Vasić, "Neuronski pristup u modelovanju propagacionih krivih iz ITU-R P.370-7 preporuke", *Zbornik radova XLVII konferencije ETRAN 2003*, Herceg-Novi, jun 2003, sveska II, str. 269-272.
- [3] B. Milovanović, Z. Stanković, A. Stošić "Prediction of the Electromagnetic Field Strength Level using Neural Model", *TELSIKS 2003 Conference Proceedings*, 1-3 october 2003, pp. 687-690.
- [4] B. Milovanović, Z. Stanković, A. Đorđević, M. Veljković, "Neuronski model za predikciju nivoa EM polja u radio difuziji", *Zbornik radova YUINFO 2004 Konferencije*, Kopaonik, mart 2004.
- [5] Z. Stanković, B. Milovanović, M. Veljković and A. Đorđević, "The Hybrid Neural-Empirical Model for the Electromagnetic Field Level Prediction in Urban Environments", *NEUREL 2004 Conference Proceedings*, Beograd, 23-26 september 2004, pp. 189-192.
- [6] A.H. El Zooghby, C.G. Christodoulou, and M. Georgiopoulos, "A neural network-based smart antenna for multiple source tracking", *IEEE Trans. Antenna Propagat.*, vol. 48, no.5, May 2000.
- [7] M. Sarevska, B. Milovanović, and Z. Stanković, "Alternative Signal Detection For Neural Network-Based Smart Antenna", *NEUREL 2004 Conference Proceedings*, Beograd, 23-26 september 2004, pp. 85-89.
- [8] A. Nešković, N. Nešković, D. Paunović "Microcell Coverage Prediction Using Artificial Neural Networks", *NEUREL 2002 Conference Proceedings*, Beograd, 23-26 september 2004, pp. 85-89.




Figure 7. RBF neural network for DOA estimation




Figure 8. HNM for detection and DOA estimation of EM waves




Figure 9. Results of simulation by HN model for two users at distance  $4^\circ$ ,  $3.5^\circ$ ,  $3^\circ$ ,  $2.5^\circ$ , and  $2^\circ$

- [9] G. L. Creech, B. J. Paul C. D. Lesniak, T. J. Jenkins, and M. C. Calcaterra, "Artificial neural networks for fast and accurate EM-CAD of microwave circuits", *IEEE Trans., MTT*, vol. 45, no. 5 pp. 794-802, 1997.
- [10] Z. Stanković, B. Milovanovic, V. Stankovic, "Microwave Planar Circuits Modeling with Knowledge-Based Neural Networks", *Zbornik radova YUINFO '99 Konferencije*, Kopaonik, Yugoslavia, mart 1999.
- [11] B. Milovanović, Z. Stanković and S. Ivković, "Modelling of the Cylindrical Metallic Cavity with Circular Cross-Section using Neural Networks", *10th Mediterranean Electrotechnical Conference MELECON 2000*, Cyprus, pp. 449-452, vol.2, 2000.
- [12] Z. Stanković, B. Milovanović and S. Ivković, "Microwave Cylindrical Cavity Applicators Modelling using Knowledge Based Neural Network", *TELSIKS 2001 Conference Proceedings*, september 2001, Niš, pp. 687-690.
- [13] V. B. Litovski, J. I. Radjenovic, Z. M. Mrcarica, S. L. Milenkovic, "MOS Transistor Modeling using Neural network", *Electron. Lett.*, Vol. 28, No-18, 1992, pp. 1766-1768.
- [14] V. Marković, O. Pronić and Z. Marinković, "Noise Wave Modeling of Microwave Transistors Based on Neural Networks", *Microwave and Optical Technology Letters*, Vol. 41 No. 4, May 2004, pp. 294-297.
- [15] V. Markovic, Z. Marinkovic, "HEMT Noise neural model based on bias conditions", *COMPEL-The Inter. Journal for Computation and Mathematics in Electrical and Electronic Engin.*, Vol. 23, Iss. 2, 2004, pp. 426-435.
- [16] Z. Marinkovic, V. Markovic, "Neural Networks in Microwave Low-Noise Transistor under Various Temperature Conditions", *NEUREL 2004 Conference Proceedings*, Beograd, 23-26 september 2004, pp. 199-203.
- [17] A. Veluswami, M. S. Nakhla, Q. Zhang "The Application of Neural Networks to EM-Based Simulation and Optimization of Interconnects in High-Speed VLSI Circuits", *IEEE Trans., Microwave Theory Tech.*, vol. 45, no. 5, 1997, pp. 712-723.
- [18] B. Milovanović, V. Marković, Z. Marinković, Z. Stanković, "Some Applications of Neural Networks in Microwave Modeling", *JAC - Journal of Automatic Control*, Univeristy of Belgrade, Vol. 13(1), 2003, pp 39-46.
- [19] H. L. Southall, J. A. Simmers, T. H. O'Donnell, "Direction finding in phased arrays with neural network beamformer", *IEEE Trans. on Antennas and Propagation.*, vol. 43, no. 12, pp. 1369-1374, 1995.
- [20] G. Washington, "Aperture antenna shape prediction by feed forward neural networks", *IEEE Trans. on Antennas and Propagation*, vol. 45, no. 4, pp. 683-688, 1997.
- [21] Q. Zhao, Z. Bao, "Radar target recognition using a radial basis function", *IEEE Trans. on Neural Networks*, vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 709-720, 1996