

# Study of a Bandwidth of Symmetrical Microstrip Antennas

Marija Milijić<sup>1</sup>, Aleksandar Nešić<sup>2</sup> and Bratislav Milovanović<sup>3</sup>

**Abstract** – This paper examines the bandwidth of symmetrical microstrip antennas with different 3D reflector plates. Both single symmetrical microstrip dipole and its array are investigated using WIPL-D software. Beside model of well-known symmetrical pentagonal dipole, the models of new symmetrical microstrip dipoles of different shapes are presented too.

**Keywords** – Bandwidth, Symmetrical microstrip dipole, Reflector plate.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Printed antennas feature advantages that make them suitable for many modern wireless communication services. They have low profile and low weight, simple and inexpensive production using standard photolithographic technique, great reproducibility and the possibility of integration with other microwave circuits [1]. Their major disadvantages are spurious feed radiation, tolerances in fabrication, very narrow frequency bandwidth and surface wave effect [2]. The mentioned limitations can be overcome using symmetrical microstrip dipole which operates on the second resonance (antiresonance) enabling both much slower impedance variation with frequency and useful wide bandwidth than in case of operation on the first resonance [3-8]. Consequently, it has lower sensitivity to fabrication's tolerances enabling the use of low-cost photolithography printing process for its manufacture. Further, the feeding symmetrical microstrip line causes the reduction of unwanted radiation, parasitic coupling and surface wave effect [3-8].

There are many published research results of symmetrical microstrip dipole of pentagonal shape and its arrays [3-8] that demonstrate their advantages over classical printed antennas. This paper presents examination of symmetrical microstrip dipoles of different shapes with different 3D reflector plates. Special attention is given to the bandwidth of proposed symmetrical microstrip dipoles as well as to the bandwidth of their arrays.

## II. SYMMETRICAL MICROSTRIP DIPOLES

The symmetrical microstrip dipole is presented in Fig. 1. One its half is on one side and another half, contrariwise turned, is on the opposite side of the substrate.

<sup>1</sup>M. Milijić is with the Faculty of Electronic Engineering, University of Niš, Serbia, E-mail: marija.milijic@elfak.ni.ac.rs

<sup>2</sup>A. D. Nešić is with "IMTEL-komunikacije" a.d., Novi Beograd, Serbia, E-mail: aca@insimtel.com

<sup>3</sup>B. Milovanović is with University Singidunum, Belgrade, Serbia, E-mail: batam@pogled.net




Fig. 1. The symmetrical microstrip dipole




Fig. 2. The symmetrical microstrip dipole of pentagonal shape

The previous research [3-8] showed all advantages of both symmetrical pentagonal dipole (Fig. 2) and its arrays. The single pentagonal dipole with different 3D reflector plates has satisfactory large bandwidth [8]. However, its gain and sidelobe suppression are usually with unappropriated values for modern communication systems. Therefore, symmetrical pentagonal dipoles are organized in arrays that can have sufficient many antenna parameters (bandwidth, gain, sidelobe, etc.) [3-7].

In order to improve bandwidth of symmetrical microstrip antenna, whatever single dipole or its arrays, the different shapes of dipole are investigated. Half-dozen different shaped symmetrical microstrip dipoles have been modelled and simulated using WIPL-D software [9]. Also, different reflector plates have been used: plane, parallel or normal to dipole, and corner with 90° and 60° angle. All considered dipoles are printed on the dielectric substrate of 0.508 mm thickness and dielectric constant  $\epsilon_r=2.17$ . The central frequency of all examined symmetrical dipoles is  $f_c=30$  GHz. The dimensions of all considered shaped dipoles are optimized to achieve dipole's impedance  $Z_d=100\Omega$  at the centre frequency  $f_c$ . Three shapes of symmetrical microstrip dipole, that have bandwidth noticeably better than classic pentagonal dipole, are shown in Fig. 3. Their simulated bandwidth results [9] are presented in Figs. 4-7 and Table I-IV for parallel reflector, perpendicular reflector, 90° corner reflector and 60° corner reflector, respectively.




Fig. 3. The symmetrical microstrip dipole of (a) Shape 1, (b) Shape 2, and (c) Shape 3




Fig. 4. VSWR parameter of symmetrical dipoles with parallel reflector

TABLE I  
FREQUENCY RANGE OF SYMMETRICAL DIPOLES WITH PARALLEL REFLECTOR WHERE  $VSWR < 2$

Shape	$f$ [GHz]	$f$ [%]
Classical pentagonal	25-32.4	24.6
Shape 2	25-36.9	39.66
Shape 3	25.1-38.1	43.33

For every considered reflector only three best simulation results are presented. Firstly, VSWR parameters of symmetrical dipoles with parallel reflector at distance  $\lambda_0/4=2.5$  mm ( $\lambda_0$  is wavelength in vacuum at  $f_c=30$  GHz) are presented in Fig. 4 and in Table I. It can be noticed that symmetrical microstrip dipoles of shape 2 and shape 3 have significantly greater bandwidth where their  $VSWR < 2$  then classical symmetrical pentagonal dipole. Furthermore, Fig. 5 and Table II show the simulation results of symmetrical dipoles with perpendicular reflector at distance  $\lambda_0/4=2.5$  mm from dipole. There are only simulation results for bandwidth of symmetrical dipoles of shape 1-3 that are all better than simulation results for bandwidth of symmetrical pentagonal dipole (27.33 % of central frequency).




Fig. 5. VSWR parameter of symmetrical dipoles with perpendicular reflector

TABLE II  
FREQUENCY RANGE OF SYMMETRICAL DIPOLES WITH PERPENDICULAR REFLECTOR WHERE  $VSWR < 2$

Shape	$f$ [GHz]	$f$ [%]
Shape 1	25.2-33.6	28
Shape 2	23.8-39.6	52.67
Shape 3	25.1-40.5	51.33

Moreover, Fig. 6-7 and Table III-IV present the simulated VSWR parameters of symmetrical dipoles in corner reflectors whose apex is at distance  $\lambda_0/2=5$  mm from dipole. Feeding lines for dipoles penetrate the junction of two reflector plates through holes with diameter of 2.3 mm so the influence of the metallic plates on the microstrip lines is minimized. Obviously, the dipoles in corner reflectors have smaller frequency range where their  $VSWR < 2$  then dipoles with plane reflector plate (parallel or normal to dipole). Although, the symmetrical dipoles of shape 1-3 have better wideband characteristics then symmetrical pentagonal dipole in case of reflector with  $90^\circ$  angle. Symmetrical pentagonal dipole's bandwidth is 13% of central frequency. When dipoles are examined in corner reflector with  $60^\circ$  angle, only symmetrical dipoles of shape 2-3 have greater bandwidth then classical symmetrical pentagonal dipole. The gain of all considered dipoles is 6-7 dBi.




Fig. 6. VSWR parameter of symmetrical dipoles in corner reflector with  $90^\circ$  angle

TABLE III  
FREQUENCY RANGE OF SYMMETRICAL DIPOLES IN CORNER REFLECTOR WITH  $90^\circ$  ANGLE WHERE  $VSWR < 2$

Shape	$f$ [GHz]	$f$ [%]
Shape 1	26.6-31.9	17.67
Shape 2	21.6-32.5	36.33
Shape 3	21.3-32.5	37.33




Fig. 7. VSWR parameter of symmetrical dipoles in corner reflector with  $60^\circ$  angle

TABLE IV  
FREQUENCY RANGE OF SYMMETRICAL DIPOLES IN CORNER REFLECTOR WITH  $60^\circ$  ANGLE WHERE  $VSWR < 2$

Shape	$f$ [GHz]	$f$ [%]
Classical pentagonal	25.8-31.6	19.33
Shape 2	25-33.7	29
Shape 3	25.4-34.2	29.33




Fig. 8. Antenna array with cosecant squared-shaped radiation pattern

### III. ANTENNA ARRAY WITH COSECANT SQUARE SHAPED RADIATION BEAM

The antenna array of four radiating elements, feeding network and bal-un are printed on the same dielectric substrate with dielectric constant  $\epsilon_r=2.17$  and thickness of 0.508 mm. The array is positioned in corner reflector with angle of  $60^\circ$  and with apex at distance  $\lambda_0/2$  (at the centre frequency  $f_c=30$  GHz) from centres of radiating elements (Fig. 8). Three antenna arrays have been modelled whose radiating elements are symmetrical printed dipoles of pentagonal shape, shape 2 and shape 3 (Fig. 2 and Fig. 3). The dipoles are axially placed, decreasing their mutual impedance, at the distance  $d=0.8\lambda_0=8$  mm (at  $f_c=30$  GHz). Orchard Elliot's and genetic algorithm methods have been applied for synthesizing the antenna array. The normalized amplitude for dipoles  $i=1,4$  are  $u_1=0.4038$ ,  $u_2=0.567$ ,  $u_3=1$  and  $u_4=0.725$  while phase shifts are  $\varphi_1=0^\circ$ ,  $\varphi_2=14^\circ$ ,  $\varphi_3=0^\circ$  and  $\varphi_4=-36^\circ$  [10].

The feeding network is also the symmetrical microstrip structure (Fig. 8). After the coaxial connector there is a beginning part of feeding network BAL-UN for transition from conventional microstrip to symmetrical microstrip structure (Fig. 8). Characteristics and dimensions of the  $\lambda/4$  transformers in symmetrical microstrip technique have been calculated using TEM analysis. Using values  $u_1$ ,  $u_2$ ,  $u_3$  and  $u_4$  for dielectric substrate of 0.508 mm thickness, 2.17 relative dielectric permittivity, 41 MS/m conductivity of metal, insignificantly small values of loss tangent and conductor thickness, the width  $W_k$ ,  $k=1,2,3,4,A,B$  (Fig. 8) of  $\lambda/4$  impedance transformers have been obtained ( $W_1=0.49$  mm,  $W_2=1$  mm,  $W_3=1.02$  mm,  $W_4=0.53$  mm,  $W_A=1.29$  mm,  $W_B=1.89$  mm). The phase shifts are adjusted by setting the lengths of dipoles' feeding microstrip lines of  $100\Omega$ . The feeding line for the second dipole, whose phase shift is  $14^\circ$ , is 0.4 mm shorter than feeding line for the first dipole with phase shift of  $0^\circ$ . Similarly, the feeding line associated with the fourth dipole with phase shift of  $-36^\circ$  is 1.04 mm longer than feeding line of the third dipole with phase shift of  $0^\circ$ . Lastly, the feeding network was developed and verified using WIPL-D Microwave Pro software [9].

## IV. CONCLUSION

Symmetrical printed antennas have a few advantages over standard printed antenna. They have wide bandwidth that can be useful for their usage in many modern wireless services. Therefore, symmetrical printed antennas have less sensibility to fabrication tolerances and they can be produced using cheap photolithographic process not demanding expensive equipment and reducing their manufacturing price.

This paper presents symmetrical printed antennas that have different shapes of classical symmetrical pentagonal dipole. Considering their VSWR parameter, it can be concluded that proposed symmetrical dipoles have bandwidth in range or better than bandwidth of symmetrical pentagonal dipole, especially when they are place in corner reflector with 180° and 90° angle. Similarly, their arrays with cosecant square shaped radiation pattern placed in corner reflector of 60° angle have VSWR parameter greater than 2 in wider frequency range then antenna array of symmetrical pentagonal dipoles fed by the same network of impedance transformers and with corner reflector of 60° angle.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work was supported by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development of Republic Serbia under the projects No. III 44009.

## REFERENCES

- [1] C.A. Balanis, *Antenna Theory: Analysis and Design*, 3rd Edition, Wiley-Interscience, 2005.
- [2] D.M. Pozar, and B. Kaufman, "Design Considerations for Low Sidelobe Microstrip Arrays", *IEEE Trans. on Antennas and Propagation*, vol. 38, no. 8, pp. 1176-1185, August 1990.
- [3] A. Nešić, I. Radnović, Z. Mičić, and S. Jovanović, "Side Lobe Suppression of Printed Antenna Arrays for Integration with Microwave Circuits", *Microwave J.*, vol. 53, no. 10, pp. 72-80, 2010.
- [4] M. Milijić, A. Nešić, and B. Milovanović, "Design, Realization and Measurements of a Corner Reflector Printed Antenna Array with Cosecant Squared-Shaped Beam Pattern", *IEEE Antenn. Wirel. Pr.*, vol. 15, pp. 421-424, 2016.
- [5] A. Nešić, and I. Radnović, "New Type of Millimeter Wave Antenna with High Gain and High Side Lobe Suppression", *Optoelectron. Adv. Mat.*, vol. 3, no. 10, pp. 1060-1064, 2009.
- [6] A. Nešić, Z. Mičić, S. Jovanović, I. Radnović, and D. Nešić, "Millimeter Wave Printed Antenna Arrays for Covering Various Sector Width", *IEEE Antennas Propag.*, vol. 49, no. 1, pp. 113-118, 2007.
- [7] M. Milijić, A. Nešić, and B. Milovanović, "Wideband Printed Antenna Array in Corner Reflector with Cosecant Square-Shaped Beam Pattern", *22nd Telecommun. Forum TELFOR*, Belgrade, Serbia, November 25-27, pp.780-783, 2014.
- [8] M. Milijić, A. Nešić, and B. Milovanović, "The Investigation of Reflector Influence on the Bandwidth of Symmetrical Printed Antenna Structures", *3rd International Conference on Electrical, Electronic and Computing Engineering IcETRAN 2016*, Zlatibor, Serbia, June 13-16, pp. MT11.1.1-6, 2016.
- [9] WIPL-D Pro v10.0, WIPL-D Team.
- [10] A. Kedar, P. N.S. Kutiyal, M. Garg, and U.K. Revankar, "Wide Band Low Profile Linear Microstrip Antenna Array with Cosecant Square-Shaped Beam Pattern", *Microw. Opt. Technol. Lett.*, vol. 49, no. 4, pp. 963-965, 2007.




Fig. 9. The simulated radiation pattern of antenna array of 4 symmetrical microstrip dipoles in corner reflector with 60° angle




Fig. 10. The simulated VSWR parameter of antenna array of 4 symmetrical microstrip dipoles in corner reflector with 60° angle

The Fig. 9 shows the simulated radiation pattern of antenna arrays with symmetrical microstrip dipoles of classic pentagonal shape, shape 2 and shape 3. Antenna gain is about 15 dBi and side lobe suppression (SLS) is higher than 15 dB at the centre frequency  $f_c=30$  GHz. In can be noticed that the simulated cosecant squared shaped beam in the elevation plane has coverage beyond 15°. Further, the Fig. 10 shows simulated VSWR parameter of three proposed antenna arrays for frequency from 22 GHz to 36 GHz. It can be seen that antenna array of symmetrical dipoles of shape 3 has the widest bandwidth where  $VSWR < 2$  (22.4-35.2 GHz – 42.67% of  $f_c$ ). It is better than bandwidth of antenna array of symmetrical dipoles of shape 2 (22.5-32.6 GHz – 33.67% of  $f_c$ ) and bandwidth of antenna array of symmetrical pentagonal dipoles (24-33.8 GHz – 32.67% of  $f_c$ ).