

An Original Approach To The Construction of (3,2,ρ)-N-Symmetrizable Hilbert Spaces

Sonja B. Chalamani¹, Elena T Kotevska² and Marzanna J. Seweryn-Kumanovska³

Abstract: In this paper we will present an original approach to the construction of a special type of Hilbert space. Assuming that a set M is given with a $(3,2,\rho)$ -metric d on it, the construction of $(3,2,\rho)$ -N-symmetrizable Hilbert space will be described in detail. This Hilbert space is characterized by very interesting topological structure and properties.

Keywords – $(3,2,\rho)$ -metric, $(3,2,\rho)$ -metric spaces, $(3,2,\rho)$ -N-symmetrizable spaces

I. INTRODUCTION

The notion of metric space leads to an important topological notion, viz., the notion of a metrizable space. We lay great stress on metric and metrizable spaces because many important topological spaces used in various branches of mathematics are metrizable. Axiomatic classification and the generalization of metric and metrizable spaces have been considered in a lot of papers. We will mention some of them: K. Menger ([14]), V. Nemytzki, P.S. Aleksandrov ([16],[1]), Z. Mamuzic ([13]), S. Gähler ([12]), A. V. Arhangel'skii, M. Choban, S. Nedev ([2],[3],[17]), J. Usan ([18]), B. C. Dhage, Z. Mustafa, B. Sims ([8], [15]). The notion of (n,m,ρ) -metric is introduced in [9]. Connections between some of the topologies induced by a $(3,1,\rho)$ -metric d and topologies induced by a pseudo- ρ -metric, ρ -metric and symmetric are given in [10]. For a given $(3,j,\rho)$ -metric d on a set M , $j \in \{1,2\}$, seven topologies $\tau(G,d)$, $\tau(H,d)$, $\tau(D,d)$, $\tau(N,d)$, $\tau(W,d)$, $\tau(S,d)$ and $\tau(K,d)$ on M , induced by d , are defined in [4] and several properties of these topologies are shown, such as: $\tau(W,d) \subseteq \tau(N,d) \subseteq \tau(D,d) \subseteq \tau(G,d)$, $\tau(W,d) \subseteq \tau(H,d) \subseteq \tau(G,d)$, $\tau(W,d) \subseteq \tau(S,d) \subseteq \tau(K,d)$. For a $(3,2,\rho)$ -metric d on M , the following inclusions are also satisfied $\tau(W,d) \subseteq \tau(N,d) = \tau(S,d) = \tau(K,d) \subseteq \tau(D,d) \subseteq \tau(G,d)$.

1. Sonja B. Chalamani is with the Faculty of Technical Sciences University St. Kliment Ohridski, Makedonska Falanga 33, Bitola 7000 Email: scalamani@yahoo.com
2. Elena T. Kotevska is with the Faculty of Technical Sciences University St. Kliment Ohridski, Makedonska Falanga 33, Bitola 7000 Email: elena.kotevska@tfb.uklo.edu.mk
3. Marzanna J. Seweryn-Kumanovska is with the Pedagogical Faculty University St. Clement of Ohrid St. Kliment Ohridski, General Vasko Karangjelevski B.B., Bitola 7000 Email: marzanna.kuzmanovska@yahoo.com

In this paper we consider only the topologies $\tau(N,d)$ and $\tau(D,d)$ induced by a $(3,2,\rho)$ -metric d . We will prove that the set

$$\beta = \{B(a, a, \varepsilon) | a \in M, \varepsilon > 0\}$$

is a base for $\tau(N,d)$ and the Hilbert space H is a $(3,2,\rho)$ -N-symmetrizable with topology $\tau = l^2(\text{on } R^3)$ and is a separable space.

II. SOME PROPERTIES OF (3,2,ρ)-N-SYMMETRIZABLE SPACES

In this part we state the notions (defined in [4]) used later.

Let M be a nonempty set and let $d: M^3 \rightarrow R_0^+ = [0, \infty)$.

We state five conditions for such a map.

(M0) $d(x, x, x) = 0$, for any $x \in M$;

(P) $d(x, y, z) = d(x, z, y) = d(y, x, z)$, for any $x, y, z \in M$

(M1) $d(x, y, z) \leq d(x, y, a) + d(x, a, z) + d(a, y, z)$, for any $x, y, z, a \in M$;

(M2) $d(x, y, z) \leq d(x, a, b) + d(a, y, b) + d(a, b, z)$, for any $x, y, z, a, b \in M$;

(Ms) $d(x, x, y) = d(x, y, y)$, for any $x, y \in M$.

For a map d as above let

$$\rho = \{(x, y, z) | (x, y, z) \in M^3, d(x, y, z) = 0\}.$$

The set ρ is a $(3,j)$ -equivalence on M , as defined and discussed in [9], [4].

The set $\Delta = \{(x, x, x) | x \in M\}$ is $(3,1)$ -equivalence on M , $j = 1,2$, and the set

$\nabla = \{(x, x, y) | x, y \in M\}$ is a $(3,1)$ -equivalence, but it is not a $(3,2)$ -equivalence on M . However, the condition (M0) implies that $\Delta \subseteq \rho$.

Definition.2.1. Let $d: M^3 \rightarrow R_0^+$ and ρ be as above. If d satisfies (M0), (P) and (Mj), $j \in \{1,2\}$, we say that d is a $(3,j,\rho)$ -metric on M . If d is a $(3,j,\Delta)$ -metric on M , we say that d is a $(3,j)$ -metric on M . If d is a $(3,j,\rho)$ -metric and satisfies (Ms), we say that d is a $(3,j,\rho)$ -symmetric on M , and if d is a $(3,\rho)$ -metric and satisfies (Ms), we say that d is a $(3,\rho)$ -symmetric on M .

Remark 2.1. Any $(3,j,\rho)$ -metric d on M induces a map $D_d: M^2 \rightarrow R_0^+$ defined by:

$$D_d(x, y) = d(x, x, y).$$

It is easy to check the following facts.

a) For any $(3,j,\rho)$ -metric d , $D_d(x, x) = 0$. (D_d is called a distance in [15] and a pseudo ρ -metric in [17].)

b) For any $(3, j)$ -metric d , $D_d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$. (D_d is called an ***o-metric*** in [17].)

c) For any $(3, j)$ -symmetric d , $D_d(x, y) = D_d(y, x)$. (D_d is called a ***symmetric*** in [17].)

d) For any $(3, 2, \rho)$ -metric d ,
 $D_d(x, y) \leq 2D_d(z, x) + D_d(z, y)$ and
 $D_d(x, y) \leq 2D_d(y, x)$.

e) For any $(3, 2)$ -symmetric d ,
 $D_d(x, y) = D_d(y, x) \leq 3(D_d(x, z) + D_d(z, y))/2$. (In the literature D_d is called a ***quasimetric***, a ***nearmetrics*** or an ***inframetrics***.)

Let d be a $(3, 2, \rho)$ -metric on M , $x, y \in M$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. As in [4], we consider the following ε -ball, as subset of M

$B(x, y, \varepsilon) = \{z \mid z \in M, d(x, y, z) < \varepsilon\}$ — ε -ball with center at (x, y) and radius ε .

Remark 2.2. a) For $x = y$, $B(x, x, \varepsilon) = B(x, y, \varepsilon) = \{z \mid z \in M, d(x, x, z) < \varepsilon\}$. For any $a \in M$, $a \in B(a, a, \varepsilon)$, but, it is possible for some $x \neq a$ to have $a \notin B(a, x, \varepsilon)$.

b) For a pseudo o -metric $D: M^2 \rightarrow R_0^+$, there is only one possibility for defining ε -balls, i.e.

$$B(x, \varepsilon) = \{z \mid z \in M, D(x, z) < \varepsilon\}.$$

Among the others, a $(3, 2, \rho)$ -metric d on M induces the following two topologies as in [4]:

1) $\tau(N, d)$ —the topology defined by: $U \in \tau(N, d)$ iff $\forall x \in U$, $\exists \varepsilon > 0$ such that $B(x, x, \varepsilon) \subseteq U$;

2) $\tau(D, d)$ —the topology generated by all the ε -balls $B(x, x, \varepsilon)$.

In [4] we proved that $\tau(N, d) \subseteq \tau(D, d)$ for any $(3, 2, \rho)$ -metric d . With the next proposition we will show that $\tau(N, d) = \tau(D, d)$ for any $(3, 2, \rho)$ -symmetric d .

Proposition 2.1. For any $(3, 2, \rho)$ -symmetric d on M , the ball $B(a, a, \varepsilon) \in \tau(N, d)$, for any a on M and $\varepsilon > 0$.

Proof: It is enough to show that for any $x \in B(a, a, \varepsilon)$ there is $\delta > 0$, such that $B(x, x, \delta) \subseteq B(a, a, \varepsilon)$. Let $x \in B(a, a, \varepsilon)$ and $\delta = (\varepsilon - D_d(a, x))/2$. Then, for any $z \in B(x, x, \delta)$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} D_d(a, z) &= D_d(z, a) \\ &\leq 2D_d(z, x) + D_d(x, a) \\ &< 2\delta + D_d(x, a) \\ &= 2(\varepsilon - D_d(a, x))/2 + D_d(x, a) = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $z \in B(a, a, \varepsilon)$, i.e., $z \in B(x, x, \delta) \subseteq B(a, a, \varepsilon)$.

This proposition shows that the set $\beta = \{B(a, a, \varepsilon) \mid a \in M, \varepsilon > 0\}$ is a base for $\tau(N, d)$. Moreover, $\tau(N, d) = \tau(D, d)$.

Definition 2.2. We say that a topological space (M, τ) is $(3, 2, \rho)$ -N-symmetrizable via a $(3, 2, \rho)$ -symmetric d on M , if $\tau = \tau(N, d)$.

III. CONSTRUCTION OF $(3, 2, \rho)$ -N-SYMMETRIZABLE HILBERT SPACE

In [4] we proved that any $(3, 2)$ -N-D-metrizable spaces (M, τ) is metrizable, so by this in [6] we proved that any $(3, 2)$ -N-metrizable spaces (M, τ) is perfectly normal. The last property of the perfect normality of the $(3, 2)$ -N-metrizable

space allows us to make the constructed Hilbert space to be metrizable if $\rho = \Delta$, even more so that it is separable. First, we construct the space.

Proposition 3.1. The Hilbert's space is $(3, 2, \rho)$ -N-symmetrizable space via a $(3, 2, \rho)$ -symmetric d .

Proof: Let $M = H$ be the set of all infinite sequences $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ of real numbers satisfying the condition $\sum_{i=1}^\infty x_i^2 < \infty$ and let $d(x, x, y) = D_d(x, y)$, where D_d is defined in Remark 2.2.

We shall show that with

$$D_d(x, y) = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^\infty (x_i - y_i)^2},$$

for $x = \{x_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$, $y = \{y_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$, a $(3, 2, \rho)$ -symmetric d is defined.

First of all, we will prove that D_d is well-defined, i.e., that the series in the definition of D_d is convergent. In the proof we shall apply the Cauchy inequality

$$|\sum_{i=1}^k a_i b_i| \leq \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^k a_i^2} \cdot \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^k b_i^2},$$

that holds for all finite sequences a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k and b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k of real numbers.

Let us note that for every pair of points $x = \{x_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$, $y = \{y_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ in H and any positive integer k we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^k (x_i - y_i)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^k x_i^2 - 2 \sum_{i=1}^k x_i y_i + \sum_{i=1}^k y_i^2$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \sum_{i=1}^k x_i^2 + 2 \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^k x_i^2} \cdot \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^k y_i^2} + \sum_{i=1}^k y_i^2 \\ &= \left(\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^k x_i^2} + \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^k y_i^2} \right)^2 \\ &\leq \left(\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^\infty x_i^2} + \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^\infty y_i^2} \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since the last inequality holds for any positive integer k , the series in the definition of D_d is convergent and $D_d(x, y)$ is well-defined.

Obviously, D_d satisfies conditions **(M0)**, **(P)** and **(Ms)**. In addition, we shall show that condition **(M2)** is also satisfied.

Let $x = \{x_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$, $y = \{y_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ and $z = \{z_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be any points of H , let

$$\begin{aligned} x^k &= \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, 0, 0, \dots\}, \\ y^k &= \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k, 0, 0, \dots\}, \\ z^k &= \{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k, 0, 0, \dots\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$a_i = x_i - y_i, \quad b_i = y_i - z_i, \quad c_i = x_i - z_i.$$

By the Cauchy inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} |D_d(x^k, z^k)|^2 &= |D_d(z^k, x^k)|^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k c_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^k (a_i + b_i)^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k a_i^2 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^k a_i b_i + \sum_{i=1}^k b_i^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \sum_{i=1}^k a_i^2 + 2 \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^k a_i^2} \cdot \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^k b_i^2} + \sum_{i=1}^k b_i^2 = \\ &\left(\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^k a_i^2} + \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^k b_i^2} \right)^2 \\ &< 9 \left(\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^k a_i^2} + \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^k b_i^2} \right)^2 / 4 \\ &= 9 |D_d(x^k, y^k) + D_d(y^k, z^k)|^2 / 4. \end{aligned}$$

From the last inequality it follows that for $k = 1, 2, \dots$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} 3(D_d(x, y) + D_d(y, z))/2 \\ \geq 3(D_d(x^k, y^k) + D_d(y^k, z^k))/2 \\ \geq D_d(x^k, z^k), \end{aligned}$$

and this implies that

$$3(D_d(x, y) + D_d(y, z))/2 \geq D_d(x, z).$$

From the last inequality we have that $D_d(x, y) = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (x_i - y_i)^2}$, defines $(3, 2, \rho)$ -symmetric on H . We also have the topology τ , induced by the metric d , in literature known as l^2 -topology so the topological space (H, τ) where $\tau = l^2$, is a Hilbert $(3, 2, \rho)$ -N-symmetrizable space via a $(3, 2, \rho)$ -symmetric d . Moreover, if $\rho = \Delta$ the space (H, τ) is metrizable. ■

The set of all sequences $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$, where all the x_i 's are rational numbers only finitely many of which are distinct from zero, is dense in (H, τ) and countable, so the Hilbert's $(3, 2, \rho)$ -N-symmetrizable space is also separable.

IV. CONCLUSION

Under the assumption that on the set H a $(3, 2, \rho)$ -metric d is defined, we considered the induced topologies $\tau(N, d)$ and $\tau(D, d)$. Due to the symmetric properties of metric d , we proved that these topologies are equal. In addition, we constructed the $(3, 2, \rho)$ -N-symmetrizable space Hilbert space, by showing that conditions mentioned in II are satisfied. Moreover, this space is a metrizable and also a separable Hilbert space.

REFERENCES

- [1] П.С. Александров, В.В.Немыцкий, "Условия метризуемости топологических пространств и аксиома симметрии", Мат. сб. 3:3, p.p. 663-672, 1938.
- [2] А.В. Архангельский, "О поведении метризуемости при факторных отображениях", ДАН 164, № 2, p.p. 247-250, 1965.
- [3] М. Чобан, "О метризуемых пространствах", Вестн.Моск. Ун-та, сер.Матем., мех, № 3, p.p. 44-50, 1959.
- [4] S. Čalamani, D. Dimovski, "Topologies induced by $(3, 1, \rho)$ -metrics and $(3, 2, \rho)$ -metrics", International mathematical forum, Vol.9, no.21-24, p.p. 1075-1088, 2014
- [5] S. Čalamani, D. Dimovski, "On continuity of a $(3, 1, \rho)$ -metric", Mathematical Bulletin Vol.38(LXIV) No.1, p.p. 5-1, 2014.
- [6] S. Čalamani, T. Dimovski, D. Dimovski, "Separation properties for some topologies induced by $(3, j, \rho)$ -metrics, $j \in \{1, 2\}$ ", FMNS, Vol.1, p.p. 24-30, 2015.

- [7] S. Čalamani, D. Dimovski, M. Seweryn-Kuzmanovska, "On $(3, 2, \rho)$ -E-K-metrizable spaces" Mathematical Bulletin Vol 40(LXVI) No3, p.p. 43-49, 2016.
- [8] B.C. Dhage, "Generalized metric spaces and topological structure II", Pure Appl.Math. Sci., 40 (1-2), p.p. 37-41, 1994.
- [9] D. Dimovski, "Generalized metrics - (n, m, r) -metrics", Mat. Bilten, 16, Skopje, p.p. 73-76, 1992.
- [10] D. Dimovski, " $(3, 1, \rho)$ -metrizable topological spaces", Math. Macedonica, 3, p.p. 59-64, 2005.
- [11] R. Engelking, "General Topology", Warsaw, 1977.
- [12] S. Gähler, "2-metrische Räume und ihre topologische Struktur", Math. Nachr. 26, p.p. 115-148 1963.
- [13] Z. Mamuzić, "Abstract distance and neighborhood spaces", Proc. Prague Symp., p.p. 261-266, 1962.
- [14] K. Menger, "Untersuchungen über allgemeine Metrik", Math. Ann. 100, p.p. 75-163, 1928.
- [15] Z. Mustafa, B. Sims, "A new approach to generalized metric spaces", Journal of Nonlinear and Convex Analysis, Vol. 7, Number 2, p.p. 289-297, 2006.
- [16] V. Nemytzki, "On the „third axiom of metric spaces,,", Tr. Amer. Math. Soc. 29, p.p. 507-513, 1927.
- [17] С. Недев, "о-Метризуемые пространства", Тр. Моск. Мат. Общ. Том 24, p.p. 201-236, 1971.
- [18] J. Usan, "<Nm,E>-seti s (n+1)-rastojanjem", Review of Research, PMF, Novi Sad, Ser. Mat. 17, 2, p.p. 65-87, 1989.