

# Control of production and inventory in the automotive industry for multi customer and multi products

Ivan Djordjevic<sup>1</sup>, Gordan Stojic<sup>2</sup> and Dobrila Petrovic<sup>3</sup>

Abstract – Different factors have an uncertain impact on the material flow time in the enterprise, such as production time, time to store goods and preparation time for delivery. A new model of aggregate production planning (APP) is developed as a fuzzy linear programming (FLP) model with experiments on real world data.

Keywords - Aggregate production planning, fuzzy optimization, uncertain production, uncertain customer demand.

#### I. Introduction

Aggregate production planning (APP) is one of the most important part of operations management in competitive supply chains. It concerns matching supply with forecasted customer demand over a planning period, which is usually one year in practice. Generally, the aim is to determine required resources, which include production rate, warehouse levels, work force level, overtime, etc., in such a way as to meet customer demand.

In the literature, it has been assumed most often, that all the parameters which are associated with the APP process, such as customer demand, processing times, production capacities etc., are deterministic in nature (for example, [1]). In order to handle uncertainties which characterise real world APP environments, and a randomness in customer demand, in particular, various stochastic optimisation models have been proposed [2]. Furthermore, one can find in the literature that different types of uncertainties encountered in APP problems, such as imprecise demand, production capacities with tolerance, fuzzy processing times can be specified by production managers using imprecise linguistic terms. They have led to the development of a number of fuzzy APP models and applications of fuzzy optimisation techniques[3].

In this paper, we propose a new fuzzy model for optimal APP in the presence of uncertainty. The novelty of the model is that the objective is to minimise the fuzzy total time required for production, storing manufactured products and their preparation for delivery to the customer. We introduce uncertain factors to take into consideration uncertainty in customer demand which is forecasted and can fluctuate around these values and uncertainty in manufactured quantities. As all the time parameters listed above, customer demand deviations

<sup>1</sup>Ivan Djordjevic is with the Faculty of Technical Sciences University of Novi Sad, 6 Square of Dositej Obradovic, Novi Sad, 21101, Serbia, E-mail: ivan.djordjevic87@gmail.com.

<sup>2</sup>Gordan Stojic is with the Faculty of Technical Sciences University of Novi Sad, 6 Square of Dositej Obradovic, Novi Sad, 21101, Serbia. E-mail:gordan@uns.ac.rs.

<sup>3</sup>Dobrila Petrovic is with the Faculty of Engineering, Environment and Computing University of Coventry, Priory Street, Coventry, CV1 5FB, United Kingdom, E-mail: d.petrovic@coventry.ac.uk;

and the parameters which describe the output of manufacturing process are fuzzy, both the associated objective function and constraints become fuzzy, too. We adapt and apply one of the methods for transforming the fuzzy linear programming optimisation model, with the fuzzy objective function and fuzzy constraints, into a crisp optimisation model with both the crisp objective function and crisp constraints [4].

The paper is organized as follows. Literature review on APP models and methodologies used and methods of modelling uncertain APP parameters is presented in Section II. Problem statement is given in Section III. The fuzzy aggregated production and inventory planning model are described in Section IV, while Section V contains case study and analyses of results of different experiments carried out using the proposed model. The conclusion is given in Section VI.

#### II. LITERATURE REVIEW

It is well recognised in the literature that treating uncertainty in APP models in an appropriate way brings an advantage to handling real world APP problems and brings them nearer to the practice [3]. Majority of the APP models handle uncertainty using a classic probability theory approach, and consider only one type of uncertainty which is based on randomness and frequency of a random event occurrence.

Linear mixed integer programs (MIPs) were developed to solve two production planning problems with demand uncertainty [5], when the manufacturer had a flexibility to accept or reject an order. The MIP method in production planning problems for multi-period and multi-items in make-to-order manufacturing system was used in [6]. Avraamidou and Pistikopoulos [7] developed a bi-level mixed integer linear programming model for a supply chain under demand uncertainty.

A very important issue in modern production planning is energy consumption. Today, the most manufacturers invest significant money assets to optimise and reduce energy consumption. In [8], a multi-objective linear programming problem with three objective functions including operational expense, energy expense and carbon emission, was analysed.

Zadeh proposed a new approach to handle different types of uncertainty, by introducing the concept of fuzzy sets [9]. It has been demonstrated in the literature that fuzzy sets can be successfully applied to modelling uncertainty where available information is vague or cannot be defined precisely due to the limited knowledge. One can find some good examples in the literature on how fuzzy sets are applied in supply chain management problems, for example in supply chain partners' collaboration [10], in MRP (material requirement problems) [3], in serial supply chains [11], etc. Tang et al. considered both uncertainty in customer demand and



production capacity and modelled them as fuzzy values in a multi-product APP model [12] A fuzzy multi-objective mixedinteger non-linear programming model for a supply chain was proposed in [13]. Fuzzy customer demand was considered in three objective functions that minimised the total supply chain cost, total maximum product shortages, and the rate of changes in human resources.

### III. PROBLEM STATEMENT

A problem is to generate the optimum aggregate production and inventory plan for a supplier for a given planning time horizon. The supplier operates in a "make-to-order" manner and has to prepare a production and inventory plan in such a way as to satisfy customer demand and optimise an associated performance measure in the considered time horizon.

The planning time horizon is discretised into a series of subsequent discrete time periods. The APP determines 3 quantities to be generated for each time period in the planning time horizon: (1) optimal production quantity to be manufactured, (2) the safety stock quantity that should be kept in the warehouse and (3) the quantity that should be delivered to the customer.

If the same production line is used for manufacturing of different products for more than one customer, an efficient use of the production line is of paramount importance for the production process.

All these uncertainties have to be taken into account when generating the optimal production and inventory plan.

## IV. FUZZY AGGREGATED PRODUCTION AND INVENTORY PLANNING

#### A. Notation

The following notation is used:

i – index of a time period in a planning horizon, i = 1, ..., n,

 $D_i$  – customer demand in period i, i = 1, ..., n,

 $\tilde{t}_p$  - fuzzy production time per unit of product (in minutes), with trapezoidal membership function  $\tilde{t}_p = (t_{p\,1}, t_{p\,2}, t_{p\,3}, ...)$ ,  $\tilde{t}_s$  - fuzzy warehouse storing time per unit of product (in minutes), with trapezoidal membership function  $\tilde{t}_s =$  $(t_{s\,1},t_{s\,2},t_{s\,3},t_{s\,4}),$ 

 $\tilde{t}_t$  – fuzzy preparation time for shipping to customer per unit of product (in minutes), with trapezoidal membership function  $\tilde{t}_t = (t_{t\,1}, t_{t\,2}, t_{t\,3}, t_{t\,4}),$ 

 $\widetilde{W}_{i}^{d}$  - fuzzy factor for uncertain customer demand deviation from forecasted value in period i, i = 1,...,n, with triangular membership function  $\widetilde{w}_{i}^{d} = (w_{il}^{d}, w_{im}^{d}, w_{iu}^{d}),$ 

 $\widetilde{w}_{i}^{p}$ - fuzzy factor for uncertain production quantity output in period i, i = 1,...,n, with triangular membership function  $\widetilde{w}_i^p =$  $(w_{i\,l}^p, w_{i\,m}^p, w_{i\,u}^p),$ 

 $T^{l}$  – minimum "days of inventory" in the warehouse,

 $T^{u}$  – maximum "days of inventory" in the warehouse,

C – machine capacity.

Decision variables:

 $P_i$  – quantity manufactured in period i,

 $Ss_i$  – safety stock in period i,

 $Q_i$  – quantity delivered to customer in period i.

#### B. Fuzzy APP LP model

The objective is to minimize the total material lead time  $\tilde{Z}$ including the production time  $\tilde{t}_p P_i$ , warehouse time  $\tilde{t}_s Ss_i$ required for storing safety stock of manufactured products and time for preparation of delivery to customers  $\tilde{t}_t Q_i$ , as follows:

$$\min \tilde{Z} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \tilde{t}_{p} P_{i} + \tilde{t}_{s} S s_{i} + \tilde{t}_{t} Q_{i}$$
 (1)

The following constraints are considered:

Uncertain customer demand  $\widetilde{w}_i^d D_i$  in each time period i is satisfied using the uncertain production  $\widetilde{w}_i^p P_i$  or safety stock Ssi:

$$Ss_i + \widetilde{w}_i^p P_i \ge \widetilde{w}_i^d D_i, i = 1, ..., n$$
 (2)

The safety stock  $Ss_{i+1}$  in each time period i + 1 is equal to the stock in the previous period  $Ss_i$  increased by uncertain production in the previous period,  $\widetilde{w}_i^p P_i$ , and reduced by uncertain customer demand, i.e., quantity delivered to the customer in the previous period,  $\widetilde{w}_i^d D_i$ :

$$Ss_{i+1} = Ss_i + \widetilde{w}_i^p P_i - \widetilde{w}_i^d D_i, i = 1,...,n$$
 (3)

Installed machine capacity C produces uncertain  $\widetilde{w}_{i}^{p}P_{i}$  units per period i:

$$\widetilde{w}_i^p P_i \ge 0, i = 1, \dots, n \tag{4}$$

$$\widetilde{w}_i^p P_i \ge 0, i = 1,...,n$$
 (4)  
 $C \ge \widetilde{w}_i^p P_i, i = 1,...,n$  (5)

The safety stock  $Ss_i$  in period i is defined by a supplier's target to cover between  $T^l$  and  $T^u$  days of uncertain customer demand  $\widetilde{w}_i^d D_i$  in that period:

$$Ss_i \geq T^l \widetilde{w}_i^d D_i$$
,  $i = 1,...,n$  (6)

$$T^{u}\widetilde{w}_{i}^{d}D_{i} \geq Ss_{i}, i = 1,...,n \tag{7}$$

The delivery  $Q_i$  in each period i must be equal to uncertain customer demand  $\widetilde{w}_i^d D_i$  in order to operate with the maximum service level - 100%.

$$Q_i = \widetilde{w}_i^d D_i, i = 1, \dots, n \tag{8}$$

 $Q_i = \widetilde{w}_i^d D_i, i = 1,...,n \tag{8}$  Decision variables  $P_i$ ,  $Ss_i$  and  $Q_i$  in each time period i are non-negative:

$$P_i, Ss_i, Q_i \ge 0, i = 1,...,n$$
 (9)

C. From the fuzzy APP optimization model to a crisp APP optimization model

We applied a method developed by Jimenez et al [4] to transform the fuzzy APP model into a crisp APP model. We adapted it in such a way as to handle fuzzy parameters in the objective function with trapezoidal membership functions..

The transformation includes 3 steps as follows.

Step 1. The decision maker specifies the feasibility degree  $\beta$ of constraint satisfaction he/she is ready to accept. Let us assume that the lowest feasibility degree that the decision maker is ready to consider is Neither acceptable nor unacceptable solution -  $\beta = 0.5$  of course, it can be changed to any other feasibility degree  $\beta$  from interval [0, 1].



The crisp optimisation model is solved iteratively for each feasibility degree  $\beta = 0.5., 0.6, ..., 0.9, 0.95, 0.99$  and 1 where each solution is  $\beta$ -feasible, i.e., the minimum of feasibility achieved for all constraints is  $\beta$ . The  $\beta$ -feasible solution Pi, Ssi and Qi, i = 1, ..., n are found as follows.

First, fuzzy parameters  $\tilde{t}_p, \tilde{t}_s$  and  $\tilde{t}_t$  in the objective function are mapped into their crisp expected values. They are calculated as the middle points of the Expected intervals.

Step 2. The decision maker specifies tolerance thresholds to obtained fuzzy objective function values achieved for different  $\beta$ -satisfaction of constraints. The shortest time  $\underline{Z}$  will be achieved for the lowest constraints' satisfaction  $\beta = 0.5$  and the longest time  $\overline{Z}$  for the highest constraints' satisfaction  $\beta = 1$ . We assume that the tolerance function  $\tilde{G}$  is linear between these two tolerance thresholds, the shortest time Z and the longest time  $\overline{Z}$ . The membership function is:

$$\mu_{\tilde{G}}(z) = \begin{cases} \hat{1}, & z < \underline{Z} \\ \frac{\overline{Z} - z}{\overline{Z} - \underline{Z}}, & \underline{Z} \le z \le \overline{Z} \\ 0, & z > \overline{Z} \end{cases}$$
(10)

We propose the following formula to calculate tolerance  $K_{\tilde{G}}(\tilde{Z}(\beta))$  to obtained objective function value  $\tilde{Z}(\beta)$  when the feasibility of constrains is  $\beta$ .

$$K_{\tilde{G}}\left(\tilde{Z}(\beta)\right) = \frac{\overline{Z} - EV(\tilde{Z}(\beta))}{\overline{Z} - Z} \tag{11}$$

 $K_{\tilde{G}}\left(\tilde{Z}(\beta)\right) = \frac{\overline{Z} - EV(\tilde{Z}(\beta))}{\overline{Z} - \underline{Z}}$  (11) Step 3. Balance between the feasibility degree of constraints  $\beta$  and the satisfaction degree of solution,  $K_{\tilde{G}}(\tilde{Z}(\beta))$ , is calculated as:

$$\beta \cdot K_{\tilde{G}}\left(\tilde{Z}(\beta)\right) \tag{12}$$

 $\beta \cdot K_{\tilde{G}}\left(\tilde{Z}(\beta)\right) \tag{12}$  The solution Pi, Ssi, Qi, i=1,...,n which achieves the  $\max_{\beta=0.5,0.6,\dots,0.9,0.95,0.99,1} \beta \cdot K_{\tilde{G}} \left( \tilde{Z}(\beta) \right),$ highest recommended.

## V. CASE STUDY

We considered a first tier supplier in the automotive industry located in Serbia, which has become an increasingly important industrial sector in the recent years. The factory supplies window regulators to a number of European car manufacturers. We analysed one production line which manufactures multi products for two different customers. All products belong to the same product family. They are packed in two types of plastic containers specified by the customers. The developed fuzzy APP model is applied to determine the minimal time required for production and logistics processes. The planning horizon is selected to be a period of 12 weeks. Customer demand forecast for 12 weeks is a typical mid-term forecast used in the automotive industry for production planning. A longer period of customer demand has huge uncertainty and is not reliable for sustainable production planning.

The result of fuzzy APP model is presented in Table I. The calculation is performed using formulas (1-12) and simplex method of classical LP solver. An algorithm is developed in software Visual Studio 2015 in C++ programming language. The performance of computer: Intel processor i3-2120 (3M Cache, 3.30 GHz), 8G RAM memory (2133 MHz).

TABLE I RESULTS OF THE FUZZY APP MODEL

Feas. degr. β	Dec	cision vari	Fuzzy	objective	e function	Toler	Balan	Objec.		
	$\sum P_i$	$\sum Ss_i$	$\sum Q_i$	$Z_1$	$Z_2$	$Z_3$	$Z_4$	ance $\mu_{\bar{G}}(z)$	$K_{\tilde{G}}(Z())$	func. value z
0.5	188776	123372	194883	54839	58009	62338	68889	0.733	0.3665	61382
0.6	194107	126022	198581	56235	59489	63927	70646	0.666	0.3995	62948
0.7	199546	128672	202279	57654	60992	65541	72429	0.598	0.4183	64538
0.8	205098	131323	205977	59094	62519	67180	74241	0.528	0.4225	66153
0.9	210766	134141	209674	60562	64074	68851	76089	0.458	0.4118	67799
0.95	213644	135620	211523	61305	64863	69698	77027	0.422	0.4006	68634
0.99	215968	137007	213003	61909	65503	70386	77791	0.393	0.3886	69313
1	216552	137365	213372	62061	65664	70559	77983	0.385	0.3852	69484

Fuzzy factor  $\widetilde{w}_i^p$  is symmetrical triangular fuzzy number and modeled by logistics expert in enterprise as 10% of production output deviation (0,9, 1, 1,1). Fuzzy factor  $\widetilde{w}_{i}^{p}$  is symmetrical triangular fuzzy number and obtained as previous calculation based on customer demand deviation in enterprise in period of 12 weeks before testing time window of 12 weeks:

$$f_i = \frac{D_i}{\sigma}, i = 1, \dots, 12$$
 (13)

 $f_i = \frac{D_i}{\sigma}, i = 1, ..., 12$  (13) Where  $f_i$  is demand fluctuation,  $D_i$  customer demand prediction different for every week i, and  $\sigma$  is standard deviation of  $D_i$ , i = 1,...,12. Production time  $\tilde{t}_p$ , time for safety stock storing in warehouse  $\tilde{t}_s$ , and time for preparation of shipment to customer  $\tilde{t}_t$  are measured in enterprise and presented as nonsymmetrical trapezoidal fuzzy numbers:  $\tilde{t}_p =$ (0,20,0,21,0,23,0,25), $\tilde{t}_s = (0.020, 0.023, 0.028, 0.04),$  $\tilde{t}_t = (0.075, 0.077, 0.082, 0.086)$  minutes per unit product. The target of safety stock keeping days used in calculation is between  $T^l = 3$  and  $T^u = 5$  days. The machine capacity is C =19000 pcs/week for 5 working days in a week.

The optimal value of objective function in fuzzy APP model is 66153 minutes for whole time window of 12 weeks (5512 minutes/weekly; 1102 minutes/daily; 18,4 h/daily) for feasibility degree  $\beta = 0.8$  (Table I). Testing is performed in enterprise for both common used strategies production/inventory planning and compared with realized production plan in enterprise and result of fuzzy APP model. For comparing purpose an initial safety stock value in week 1 for both strategies and realized production plan is supposed  $Ss_1 = 10000$  pcs. The result of fuzzy APP model for week 1 is  $Ss_1 = 17031 \text{ pcs.}$ 

TABLE II COMPARING WITH TWO STRATEGIES OF PRODUCTION AND INVENTORY PLANNING

We ek, i	Prod.planning with using maximal prod. capacity (C=19000 pcs/week)			Prod. planning with using safety stock target (3 days of coverage)			Results of experiment from fuzzy APP model			Realised production plan in enterprice		
	Ssi	$P_i$	$Q_i$	$Ss_i$	$P_i$	$Q_i$	$Ss_i$	$P_i$	$Q_i$	$Ss_i$	$P_i$	$Q_i$
1	100	190 00	165 16	100	159 62	165 16	170 31	100 70	165 16	100	174 50	165 16
2	124	190	157	944	164	157	105	165	157	109	178	157
3	84 157	190	44 168	101	24 164	44 168	85 114	85 195	44 168	34 129	177	44 168
4	40 178	190	77 161	26 967	24 196	77 161	26 141	88 190	77 161	90 138	50 183	77 161
5	63 207	00 190	220	132	67 156	22 220	37 171	89 125	22 220	63 160	50 177	22 220
6	41 177 11	190 00	30 113 98	18 683 9	51 136 37	30 113 98	763 8	64 172 72	30 113 98	91 118 01	40 178 50	30 113 98



7	253	190	151	907	161	151	135	194	151	182	159	151
· ·	13	00	30	8	85	30	133	43	30	53	50	30
8	291	190	168	101	199	168	178	181	168	190	168	168
	83	00	88	33	43	88	26	06	88	73	40	88
9	312	190	219	131	180	219	190	182	219	190	175	219
	95	00	80	88	87	80	44	45	80	25	30	80
10	283	190	154	929	159	154	153	182	154	145	174	154
	15	00	92	5	52	92	09	64	92	75	40	92
11	318	190	162	975	163	162	180	182	162	165	175	162
	23	00	58	5	28	58	81	62	58	23	30	58
12	345	190	163	982	115	163	200	176	163	177	183	163
	65	00	74	4	50	74	85	10	74	95	60	74
Σ	275	228	200	120	195	200	181	205	200	180	210	200
	033	000	809	576	809	809	778	098	809	923	590	809

In Table II are presented data for both strategies of production and inventory planning in enterprise. For every strategy and real-world data the delivered quantity is the same  $\sum Q_i = 200809$  pcs of goods for period of 12 weeks. The quantity of safety stock  $\sum Ss_i$  and produced parts  $\sum P_i$  are different. They are highest in strategy of using maximal capacity 275033 and 228000 pcs, respectively. The safety stock quantity is lowest in strategy with 3 days of inventory,  $\sum Ss_i = 120576$  pcs.

An objective function value (Table III) which represents the total time required for all production and logistics operation in delivery goods to customers is the highest and in same time the most unfavorable in strategy with maximal capacity usage; it is 74476 minutes for 12 weeks (6206 minutes weekly, 1241 minutes daily, 20,7 hours daily). The lowest total time is in strategy with using safety stock target 62760 minutes for 12 weeks (5230 minutes weekly, 1046 minutes daily, 17,4 hours daily). The outcome of fuzzy APP model shows better result than strategy prod. planning using maximal prod. capacity and realized prod. plan in enterprise. Comparing with strategy with using safety stock target of 3 days the outcome is worse because the safety stock target used in fuzzy APP model is between 3 and 5 days. Normally the objective function is higher.

TABLE III OBJECTIVE FUNCTION VALUE AND INVENTORY COVERAGE

Prod.planni using maxim capacity (Capacity (Ca	nal prod. =19000	Prod. pla with u safety s target (3 covers	sing stock days of	experim	ent from PP model	Realized production plan in enterprise		
Objec. funct.	Inven. cover.	Objec. funct.	Inven. cover.	Objec. funct.	Inven. cover.	Objec. funct.	Inven. cover.	
74476	6,9	62760	3	66640	4,5	67822	4,6	

# VI. CONCLUSION

The review of the published APP models in literature showed that they did not consider and analysed the material flow time in the APP problems. All of the APP models have been developed to minimize operational cost in manufacturing, dealing with impacts on production, inventory or delivery costs. However, in some industrial sectors, the material flow time is a very important factor and cannot be neglected, because it has a big impact on the total measure of manufacturer performance. We consider a real world APP problem in the automotive industry and develop a fuzzy LP model which considers a material flow as the measure of performance. Results obtained using the proposed APP model are better compared to the practical results; the total material flow time is

shorter using the proposed APP model. Practical application of the APP model in the factory would contribute to optimised production and inventory plan with higher customer satisfaction with the service level. Finally, the cash flow in the factory can be much improved.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are grateful to the factory in the automotive industry for enabling us to consider their APP problem and to collect their data in a case study. Due to the confidentiality issues, we are not referring to the factory name.

This research was funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development of the Republic of Serbia, under the Project TR36030.

#### REFERENCES

- S. J. Nam and R. Logendran, "Aggregate production planning a survey of models and methodologies", European Journal of Operational Research 61, 255-272, 1992.
- [2] B. R. Feiring, "Production planning in stochastic demand environments", Mathematical and Computing Modelling 15, 91-95, 1991.
- [3] J. Mula, R. Poler, J. P. Garcia-Sabater and F. C. Lario, "Models for production planning under uncertainty: A review", International Journal of Production Economics 103, 271-285, 2006.
- [4] M. Jimenez, M. Arenas, A. Bilbao and M. V. Rodriguez, "Linear programming with fuzzy parameters: An interactive method resolution", European Journal of Operational Research 177, 1599-1609, 2007.
- [5] T. Aouam, K. Geryl, K. Kumar, N. Brahimi, "Production planning with order acceptance and demand uncertainty", Computers and Operations Research 91, 145-159, 2018.
- [6] H. Salamati-Hormozi, Z-H. Zhang, O. Zarei, R. Ramezanian, "Trade-off between the costs and the fairness for a collaborative production planning problem in make-to-order manufacturing", Computers & Industrial Engineering 126, 421-434, 2018.
- [7] S. Avraamidou and E. Pistikopoulos, "A Multiparametric Mixedinteger Bi-level Optimization Strategy for Supply Chain Planning Under Demand Uncertainty", IFAC-PapersOnLine, Volume 50 Issue 1, 10178-10183, 2017.
- [8] M. Modarres and E. Izadpanahi, "Aggregate production planning by focusing on energy saving: A robust optimization approach", Journal of Cleaner Production 133, 1074-1085, 2016.
- [9] L. A. Zadeh, "Fuzzy sets", Information and Control 8, 338–353, 1965.
- [10] M. Wulan and D. Petrovic, "A fuzzy logic based system for risk analysis and evaluation within enterprice collaboration", Computers in Industry 63, 739-748, 2012.
- [11] D. Petrovic, R. Roy and R. Petrovic, "Supply chain modelling using fuzzy sets", International Journal of Production Economics 59, 443-453, 1999.
- [12] J. Tang, D. Wang and R. Y. K. Fung, "Fuzzy formulation for multi-product aggregate production planning", Production planning and Control 11 (7), 670-676, DOI: 10.1080/095372800432133, 2000.
- [13] N. Gholamiana, I. Mahdavia and R. Tavakkoli-Moghaddam, "Multi-objective multi-product multi-site aggregate production planning in a supply chain under uncertainty: fuzzy multiobjective optimisation", International Journal of Computer Integrated Manufacturing, 29 (2), 149–165, 2016.